



#### Factsheet: Classes of decision analysis

**Robby Caspeele<sup>1</sup>, Cheng Xing<sup>1</sup>, Dimitri Val<sup>2</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Magnel Laboratory for Concrete Research, Ghent University <sup>2</sup>Institute for infrastructure & Environment, Heriot-Watt University

COST TU1402, 14-15.03.2016, Barcelona

**DEPARTMENT OF STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING** Faculty of Engineering and Architecture Ghent University

Institute for infrastructure & Environment

Heriot-Watt University

- 1. General overview
  - -Scope of the factsheet
  - -Application areas
  - -Critical appraisal
- 2. Basis / theory / methods
  - -Decision tree
  - -Decisions based on prior information: prior analysis
  - -Decisions based on additional information: posterior analysis
  - -Decisions based on "unknown information" :
  - pre-posterior analysis
- 3. Value of information (Vol)











### **1 General overview**

✓ Scope of the factsheet:

Three difference classes of decision analysis: Prior analysis, Posterior analysis and **Pre-posterior analysis**;

Value of Information (VoI) is defined.

#### ✓ Application areas:

For optimizing the collection of information  $\rightarrow$  a better decision.

- In civil engineering: the basis for the computation of the Vol for optimizing inspections and structural health monitoring in deteriorating structures.
- Also in other field: transportation infrastructure management,

geotechnical engineering, natural hazards, oil exploration,

environmental health risk management, etc.









#### **1 General overview**

#### ✓ Critical appraisal:

Posterior and pre-posterior analysis take into account the uncertainties in the decision making process:

 $\rightarrow$  well-considered and structured way for making optimal decisions under uncertainty.

It sometimes requires significant computational efforts and statistical modelling:

 $\rightarrow$  can be cumbersome in case one wants to apply this methodology to practical engineering applications.













Notion from Bayesian decision theory (Raiffa and Schlaifer, 1961)

E: the set of possible inspection actions (e.g., inspection date, type of inspection, location, etc.).

Z: the set inspection outcomes, which provide information on the actual structural state:

A: the set of possible maintenance actions (e.g., do nothing, repair, replace, etc.);

 $\theta$ : the set of structural states, representing different levels of structural damage, which are usually time-dependent;







# - Decisions based on prior information: prior analysis

Prior analysis: a situation when decision is to be made based on previously available, often generic, information.

Probabilities are assigned to different conditions  $\theta_i$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Prior probabilities  $P'[\theta_j]$ 

After setting utilities of possible action-state combinations,  $u(a_i, \theta_j)$ , the expected utilities corresponding to the different actions can be calculated.



















The expected utility of action  $a_i$  is given by:

$$E'_{\theta} \left[ u(a_i, \theta) \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} u(a_i, \theta_j) P'[\theta_j]$$

 $E'_{\theta}$  denotes the expectation operation with respect to prior probabilities P'[ $\theta$ ]

Consequently, the decision analysis consists of choosing the action, a\*, which results in the largest expected utility, u\*, i.e.,

$$u^{*} = \max_{a} E'_{\theta} \left[ u(a, \theta) \right]$$
  
Or  
$$a^{*} = \arg\max_{a} E'_{\theta} \left[ u(a, \theta) \right]$$











DECISION THEORY

#### COST TU1402: Quantifying the Value of Structural Health Monitoring Decisions based on additional information: posterior analysis

Additional information  $\rightarrow$  update probabilities

z<sub>k</sub>: outcome of an experiment

Prior probabilities:  $P'[\theta_i] \rightarrow Posterior probabilities P''[\theta_i|z_k]$ 



Incorporation of new and old information through P  $[z_k|\theta_i]$  and P' $[\theta_i]$  resp.

After determining posterior probabilities  $\rightarrow$  similar decision making as previous









DECISION THEOR

VALUE OF STRUCTURA HEALTH

IONITORI

#### COST TU1402: Quantifying the Value of Structural Health Monitoring Decisions based on additional information: posterior analysis

Maximize expected utility

$$u^* = \max_{a} E''_{\theta|z} \left[ u(a, \theta) \right]$$

Find the corresponding optimal terminal action

$$a^* = \arg\max_{a} E''_{\theta|z} [u(a,\theta)]$$

where the expectation operation with respect to posterior probabilities

$$E''_{\theta|z}\left[u(a_i,\theta)\right] = \sum_{j=1}^m u(a_i,\theta_j)P''\left[\theta_j \mid z_k\right]$$









DECISION THEORY

VALUE OF STRUCTURAI HEALTH

MONITORI

## Decisions based on "unknown" information: pre-posterior analysis



Objective: to select optimal strategy (e,a) to maximize the expected utility

Two forms of analysis: extensive form and normal form









VALUE OF STRUCTURA HEALTH MONITORING

#### COST TU1402: Quantifying the Value of Structural Health Monitoring Decisions based on "unknown" information: pre-posterior analysis

#### Extensive form



Analysis is carried out 'backward' – from the right hand end of the decision tree to its starting point

✤ Determine maximum expected utilities for possible (*e*,*z*) - similar to posterior analysis  $u^*(a, z) = \max E^* = \left[u(a, z, a, \theta)\right]$ 

$$u^{*}(e,z) = \max_{a} E''_{\theta|z} \left[ u(e,z,a,\theta) \right]$$

✤ Determine expected utilities for all possible experiments *e* Information needed: probabilities of experiment outcomes P[z|e]

$$E_{z|e}\left[u^{*}(e_{i},z)\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{i} u^{*}(e_{i},z_{j})P[z_{j}|e_{i}]$$

Find the maximum expected utility and the optimal experiment







#### COST TU1402: Quantifying the Value of Structural Health Monitoring Decisions based on "unknown" information: pre-posterior analysis

#### Normal form



Analysis is carried out 'forward' – from the starting point of the decision tree to its right end

- Determine decision rule assign optimal action *a* to each possible outcome *z* of experiments E a = d(e,z)
- ★ Find expected utilities for all possible combinations (*e*,*d*) Information needed:  $P'[\theta]$  and  $P[z|\theta,e]$

$$u(e,d) = E'_{\theta} \left[ E_{z|\theta,e} \left[ u(e,z,d,\theta) \right] \right]$$

• Find the maximum expected utility and the optimal combination (e,d)

$$u^{*} = \max_{e} \max_{d} E'_{\theta} \left[ E_{z|\theta,e} \left[ u(e,z,d,\theta) \right] \right]$$

Extensive form and normal form of analysis should lead to the same result









### Value of Information (Vol)

For an outcome z the difference between  $u^*(e,z)$  and the maximum utility based only on prior information represents the value of the information <u>z</u>

The expected Vol, aslo Expected Value of Sample Information (EVSI)

$$u_0^* = \max_a E'_{\theta} [u(a, \theta)] - \text{ from prior analysis}$$

$$u_1^* = \max_e E_{z|e} \left[ \max_a E''_{\theta|z} [u(e, z, a, \theta)] \right] - \text{ from pre-posterior analysis}$$

$$VoI = u_1^* - u_0^*$$

- Conditional Value of Sample Information (CVSI)
- Expected Value of Perfect Information (EVPI)
- Conditional Value of Perfect Information (CVPI)



1











## Thank you for your attention!







